



Public Service
of New Hampshire

| | |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| ORIGINAL | |
| N.H.P.U.C. Case No. | DE 10-158 |
| Exhibit No. | #2 |
| Witness | PANEL 1 |
| DO NOT REMOVE FROM FILE | |

PSNH Energy Park
780 North Commercial Street, Manchester, NH 03101

Public Service Company of New Hampshire
P.O. Box 330
Manchester, NH 03105-0330
(603) 669-4000
www.psnh.com

The Northeast Utilities System

June 11, 2010

Debra A. Howland
Executive Director and Secretary
State of New Hampshire
Public Utilities Commission
21 South Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord, NH 03301-2429

Re: Transmission Cost Adjustment Mechanism - Docket No. DE 10-158

Dear Ms. Howland:

Enclosed please find seven copies of the testimony and attachments of Robert A. Baumann containing a sample calculation of the average rate to be applied on and after July 1, 2010. PSNH currently estimates that there will be an increase in the average rate from the current 1.195 cents per kilowatt-hour to 1.501 cents per kilowatt-hour. PSNH is also filing herewith testimony and attachments prepared by Stephen R. Hall on the calculation of the actual charges for each class.

PSNH understands that the hearing on this proceeding will be conducted in the afternoon of June 23, 2010. Copies of this filing have been provided to the persons on the attached service list pursuant to Puc§203.02 and Puc §203.11.

Very truly yours,

Gerald M. Eaton
Senior Counsel

Enclosures
cc: Service List

Service List
Docket DE 10-158

Ms. Debra A. Howland
Executive Director & Secretary
State of New Hampshire
Public Utilities Commission
21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord, NH 03301-2429

Ms. Jody M. Carmody
State of New Hampshire
Public Utilities Commission
21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord, NH 03301-2429

Ms. Suzanne Amidon
Staff Attorney
State of New Hampshire
Public Utilities Commission
21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord, NH 03301-2429

Mr. Thomas C. Frantz
Director - Electric Utilities
State of New Hampshire
Public Utilities Commission
21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord, NH 03301-2429

Mr. Steve Mullen
Assistant Director - Electric Division
State of New Hampshire
Public Utilities Commission
21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord, NH 03301-2429

Ms. Amanda Noonan
Consumer Affairs Director
State of New Hampshire
Public Utilities Commission
21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord, NH 03301-2429

Mr. Robert A. Baumann
Northeast Utilities
PO Box 270
Hartford, CT 06141-0270

Mr. Allen M. Desbiens
Senior Analyst
Public Service of New Hampshire
780 N. Commercial Street
Manchester, NH 03101

Atty. Gerald M. Eaton
Senior Counsel
Public Service of New Hampshire
780 No. Commercial Street
Manchester, NH 03101

Mr. Stephen R. Hall
Manager
Public Service of New Hampshire
780 N. Commercial Street
Manchester, NH 03101

Ms. K'LaRae Nolin
Admin Support
Public Service of New Hampshire
780 N. Commercial Street
Manchester, NH 03101

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
BEFORE THE NEW HAMPSHIRE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
PREPARED TESTIMONY OF ROBERT A. BAUMANN
TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM)
Docket No. DE 10-158

1 **Q. Please state your name, business address and your present position.**

2 A. My name is Robert A. Baumann. My business address is 107 Selden Street,
3 Berlin, Connecticut. I am Director, Revenue Regulation & Load Resources for
4 Northeast Utilities Service Company which provides centralized services to the
5 Northeast Utilities' (NU) operating subsidiaries, Public Service Company of New
6 Hampshire (PSNH), The Connecticut Light and Power Company, Yankee Gas
7 Services Company and Western Massachusetts Electric Company.

8 **Q. What are your responsibilities as Director - Revenue Regulation and Load**
9 **Resources?**

10 A. I have overall responsibility for the planning and coordination of revenue
11 requirement filings for PSNH, and for the planning, coordination, and
12 implementation of fuel and generation recovery mechanisms and all other fuel
13 recovery matters for the NU operating companies, before regulatory
14 commissions including the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission (PUC or
15 the Commission).

16 **Q. Have you previously testified before the Commission?**

1 A. Yes. I have testified in numerous hearings for PSNH. I have also testified in
2 proceedings before the Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control, the
3 Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities, and the Federal Energy Regulatory
4 Commission (FERC).

5 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

6 A. My testimony supports PSNH's transmission cost adjustment mechanism
7 (TCAM) filing for rates effective July 1, 2010. The testimony and supporting
8 attachments present the actual reconciliation period through May 2010 for
9 transmission costs in this TCAM filing as well as the proposed overall average
10 TCAM rate for the forecast period to be effective July 1, 2010.

11 **Q. What is PSNH requesting in this filing?**

12 A. PSNH is requesting approval of a forecasted overall average retail transmission
13 rate to be effective July 1, 2010, for a twelve month billing period. In addition, we
14 are requesting approval of the reconciliation of actual transmission costs and
15 recoveries for the calendar year 2009. Our requests are in accordance with the
16 Commission's approval of the settlement in PSNH's rate case, Docket No. DE
17 06-028, which included a provision for a transmission cost adjustment
18 mechanism.

19 **Q. Will anyone else be providing testimony in support of this filing?**

1 A. Yes. Stephen R. Hall will be filing testimony in support of the proposed retail
2 transmission rates. In his testimony he will detail the rates applicable to each
3 individual rate class and component.

4 **Q. Describe the types of costs included in this TCAM filing.**

5 A. There are two different groups of costs within this TCAM filing. The first group of
6 costs consists of four cost categories of "wholesale transmission" costs. The
7 second group consists of three cost categories of "other transmission" costs.

8 The "wholesale transmission" costs are as follows:

- 9 1) Regional Network Service (RNS) costs
10 2) Local Network Service (LNS) costs
11 3) Reliability costs
12 4) Scheduling and Dispatch (S&D) costs.

13 All of these costs are regulated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
14 (FERC). Except for LNS costs, these costs are charged to PSNH by ISO-NE.

15 These costs are discussed below in more detail.

16 1) RNS costs support the regional transmission infrastructure throughout New
17 England. RNS costs are charged to PSNH by ISO-NE in accordance with ISO-
18 NE FERC Electric Tariff No. 3 Open Access Transmission Tariff (ISO-NE OATT)
19 approved by the FERC. RNS costs are billed to all entities in the region that
20 have RNS load responsibility, such as PSNH, based on their monthly peak load.

1 2) LNS costs encompass Northeast Utilities' (NU) transmission costs that are not
2 recovered in regional rates. This rate calculation is approved by FERC and is
3 billed to PSNH based on PSNH's load ratio share of the NU system. PSNH's
4 load ratio share is calculated using a rolling 12 month coincident peak (12 CP).

5 3) Reliability costs include costs such as Black Start, VAR support, and other
6 uplift costs that are related to generation reliability. These reliability costs are
7 billed to all entities in the region that have RNS load responsibility, such as
8 PSNH, based on their monthly peak load.

9 4) S&D costs are associated with services provided by ISO-NE related to
10 scheduling, system control and dispatch services. These costs are billed by ISO-
11 NE to all entities in the region that have RNS load responsibility, such as PSNH,
12 based on their monthly peak load, in accordance with the ISO-NE OATT.

13 The "other transmission" costs are as follows:

- 14 A) Hydro-Quebec (HQ) support costs;
15 B) New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission assessment costs; and
16 C) TCAM working capital allowance return.

17 These other transmission costs were previously recovered through PSNH's
18 distribution rates, but will be transferred in total or in part to the TCAM for
19 recovery pending Commission approval of the "Settlement Agreement on
20 Permanent Distribution Service Rates" (Settlement Agreement) between PSNH,

1 the Commission Staff, and the Office of Consumer Advocate (OCA) in Docket
2 No. DE 09-035. If the Settlement Agreement is approved by the Commission,
3 these costs will be recovered through the TCAM effective August 1, 2009, the
4 date when permanent distribution rates in DE 09-035 will be effective. These
5 costs are discussed below in more detail.

6 A) Hydro-Quebec support costs are costs associated with FERC approved
7 contractual agreements between NU subsidiaries, including PSNH, and other
8 New England utilities to provide support for transmission and terminal facilities
9 that are used to import electricity from HQ in Canada. Under these agreements,
10 PSNH is charged its proportionate share of O&M and capital costs for a thirty
11 year period ending in 2020. PSNH's share of any revenues associated with the
12 HQ facility is returned to customers through the Energy Service (ES) rate.

13 B) NHPUC assessment costs were previously charged to and recovered from
14 customers through distribution rates. The Settlement Agreement revenue
15 requirement calculation allocates these costs to ES (61.9%), distribution (29.8%)
16 and the TCAM (8.3%) for recovery through all three of these rates.

17 C) When the TCAM was initially approved in Docket No. DE 06-028, there was
18 no provision for a working capital allowance in the TCAM. The TCAM working
19 capital allowance continued to be included with the distribution working capital
20 allowance. As part the Settlement Agreement, the distribution revenue
21 requirement calculation excludes working capital on transmission costs in

1 anticipation that those requirements would be recovered through the TCAM.

2 This filing reflects that assumption.

3 **Q. Please describe the overall mechanics of the TCAM as they are presented**
4 **in this filing.**

5 A. The TCAM is a mechanism that allows PSNH to fully recover defined FERC
6 and/or Commission approved transmission costs. The TCAM rate is based on
7 reconciliations of historic transmission costs and forecasted future transmission
8 costs using the latest approved FERC transmission rates.

9 There are two basic premises of the TCAM. First, the TCAM sets retail
10 transmission rates for a defined future billing period based on transmission cost
11 estimates using current budget and forecast data that is supported by the latest
12 known FERC approved transmission rates and other budget data. Most of this
13 data is provided by ISO-NE. This future billing period is referred to as the
14 "forecast period".

15 Secondly, the TCAM provides all available actual cost and revenue (recovery)
16 data for the period just prior to the forecast period which will be referred to as the
17 "reconciliation period". The reconciliation period contains as much actual cost
18 data that is available at the time of filing. Any over- or under-recoveries that are
19 incurred in each billing period are rolled into the subsequent billing period as part
20 of the next TCAM rate.

1 **Q. What is the forecast period used in this filing, and what is the reconciliation**
2 **period?**

3 A. The forecast period in this filing is the twelve month period July 2010 through
4 June 2011. The reconciliation period includes actual calendar year 2009 and
5 actual January through May 2010 costs, as well as estimated costs for June
6 2010.

7 **Q. Do the transmission rate forecasts contained in this filing reflect the most**
8 **current FERC rates that were effective on June 1, 2010?**

9 A. Yes.

10 **Q. What then, is PSNH proposing as its annual TCAM rate in this filing?**

11 A. PSNH is proposing a forecasted overall average TCAM rate of 1.501 cents/kWh
12 as compared to the current rate in effect of 1.195 cents/kWh. The increase in the
13 rate is due to two general areas of increase. These areas are [1] increased
14 FERC approved transmission rates effective June 1, 2010 that are higher than
15 the previous years rates reflecting higher overall transmission revenue
16 requirements and [2] the inclusion of certain costs in the TCAM that were
17 previously included in the distribution rates and will be included in the TCAM rate
18 pending an approval by the Commission related to the current distribution rate
19 case settlement. This shift of costs between recovery mechanisms created a
20 larger prior period under recovery adjustment as well as higher forecasted costs.
21 Finally, the prior period under recovery is higher, in part, due to actual 2009
22 transmission revenue requirement for Schedule 21-NU transmission service

1 being higher than estimated, primarily due to significantly lower Regional
2 Network Service (RNS) revenue credits in actual when compared to the forecast.
3 The RNS revenue credits were lower as a result of significantly lower New
4 England peak loads in 2009.

5 **Q. Does PSNH require Commission approval of this rate by a specific date?**

6 A. Yes, PSNH requests final approval of the proposed TCAM rate change early in
7 the week of June 28, 2010 to allow for the implementation of a July 1, 2010
8 change in rates.

9 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

10 A. Yes, it does.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION

Page **Attachment RAB - 1**

- 1 TCAM Rate Calculation - July 2010 through June 2011
- 1a TCAM Rate Calculation - Comparison of Forecast to Currently Allowed TCAM
- 2 Forecasted Costs - July 2010 through June 2011
- 3 Actual Costs - January 2009 through June 2009
- 4 Actual Costs - July 2009 through December 2009
- 5 Actual and Forecasted Costs - January 2010 through June 2010
- 6 Actual Revenues - January 2009 through June 2009
- 7 Actual Revenues - July 2009 through December 2009
- 8 Actual and Forecasted Revenues - January 2010 through June 2010

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION**

(Dollars in 000's)

| | TCAM Rate Calculation July 2010 Through June 2011 | Forecasted Summary | Reference: Attachment RAB-1 |
|----|--|-------------------------------|--|
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | Regional Network Service (RNS) | \$ 84,246 | Page 2 |
| 4 | Scheduling and Dispatch (S&D) | 2,142 | Page 2 |
| 5 | Local Network Service (LNS) | 10,270 | Page 2 |
| 6 | Reliability | 4,839 | Page 2 |
| 7 | Hydro-Quebec Support Costs | 5,499 | Page 2 |
| 8 | NHPUC Assessment | 313 | Page 2 |
| 9 | Return on TCAM Working Capital | 1,451 | Page 2 |
| 10 | Revenue Credits | (1,389) | Page 2 |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | Total Forecasted Costs | \$ 107,371 | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | Cumulative Estimated (Over) / Under Recovery | 9,552 (1) | Page 5 |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | Total Costs | \$ 116,922 | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | Forecasted Retail MWH Sales | 7,788,871 | Page 2 |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | Forecasted TCAM Rate--cents per kWh | 1.501 | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | (1) - The \$9.6M includes \$8.5M of rebilling for the 2009 FY Schedule 21 true-up, \$5.7M of costs that were | | |
| 23 | previously recovered through the distribution rate, net of lower TCAM costs, primarily RNS costs, of \$4.6M. | | |
| 24 | The \$5.7M of costs previously recovered through the distribution rate includes \$4.2M of Hydro-Quebec | | |
| 25 | support costs, \$1.2M of return on working capital, and \$0.3M of NHPUC assessment costs. This filing | | |
| 26 | assumes these costs will be recovered in TCAM consistent with the proposed settlement agreement in | | |
| 27 | Docket DE 09-035, effective August 2009. | | |
| 28 | | | |
| 29 | Amounts shown above may not add due to rounding. | | |

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION

(Dollars in 000's)

Note: This schedule is provided as an aid to analysis and is not part of the rate calculation

| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A)-(C)=(D) |
|---|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| TCAM Rate Calculation | Forecasted | Currently | Currently | |
| | 12 mths- | Allowed (1) | Allowed (2) | |
| | 06/2011 | 11 mths- | Annualized | Delta |
| 1 Comparison of Forecast to Currently Allowed | | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 Regional Network Service (RNS) | \$ 84,246 | \$ 74,318 | \$ 81,074 | \$ 3,171 |
| 4 Scheduling and Dispatch (S&D) | 2,142 | 1,872 | 2,042 | 100 |
| 5 Local Network Service (LNS) | 10,270 | 2,498 | 2,725 | 7,546 |
| 6 Reliability | 4,839 | 3,524 | 3,845 | 994 |
| 7 Hydro-Quebec Support Costs | 5,499 | - | - | 5,499 |
| 8 NHPUC Assessment | 313 | - | - | 313 |
| 9 Return on TCAM Working Capital | 1,451 | - | - | 1,451 |
| 10 Revenue Credits | (1,389) | (1,378) | (1,503) | 114 |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 Sub-total | \$ 107,371 | \$ 80,834 | \$ 88,183 | \$ 19,188 |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 Prior Period (Over) / Under Recovery | 9,552 | 4,382 | 4,382 | 5,170 |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 Total | \$ 116,922 | \$ 85,216 | \$ 92,565 | \$ 24,358 |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 Retail MWH Sales | 7,788,871 | 7,131,582 | 7,779,908 | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 TCAM Rate—cents per kWh | 1.501 | 1.195 | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 (1) DE 09-114; Order 24,992 dated July 24, 2009 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 (2) The prior period was 11 months in length. Accordingly, the Prior Period Costs (column B), excluding the under-recovery | | | | |
| 25 amount, have been annualized using the formula, [Prior Period Costs / 11 months] X 12, to permit a comparison with | | | | |
| 26 Forecasted Costs (column A). | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 Amounts shown above may not add due to rounding. | | | | |

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION
July 2010 through June 2011

(Dollars in 000's)

| | Forecasted | | | | | | Six Months July-December Subtotal |
|--|--------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|---|
| | July 2010 | August 2010 | September 2010 | October 2010 | November 2010 | December 2010 | |
| 1 <u>Retail Transmission Cost</u> | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | |
| 3 Regional Network Service (RNS) | 7,815 | 8,697 | 7,858 | 7,355 | 6,009 | 6,468 | 44,202 |
| 4 | | | | | | | |
| 5 Scheduling and Dispatch (S&D) | 199 | 221 | 200 | 187 | 153 | 165 | 1,124 |
| 6 | | | | | | | |
| 7 Local Network Service (LNS) | 561 | 561 | 562 | 564 | 565 | 564 | 3,379 |
| 8 | | | | | | | |
| 9 Reliability | 403 | 403 | 403 | 403 | 403 | 403 | 2,420 |
| 10 | | | | | | | |
| 11 Hydro-Quebec Support Costs (1) | 462 | 462 | 462 | 462 | 462 | 462 | 2,770 |
| 12 | | | | | | | |
| 13 NHPUC Assessment (1) | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 156 |
| 14 | | | | | | | |
| 15 Return on TCAM Working Capital Allowance (1, 2) | 128 | 140 | 129 | 122 | 103 | 109 | 731 |
| 16 | | | | | | | |
| 17 Under-recovery TCAM, previous TCAM Year | 9,552 | - | - | - | - | - | 9,552 |
| 18 | | | | | | | |
| 19 Revenue Credits (3) | (116) | (116) | (116) | (116) | (116) | (116) | (695) |
| 20 | | | | | | | |
| 21 Retail Transmission Operating Costs | \$ 19,030 | \$ 10,394 | \$ 9,524 | \$ 9,004 | \$ 7,605 | \$ 8,082 | \$ 63,639 |
| 22 | | | | | | | |
| 23 Estimated Retail MWH Sales | 718,562 | 715,807 | 621,452 | 612,071 | 606,545 | 681,194 | 3,955,631 |
| 24 | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | |

| | Forecasted | | | | | | Six Months Jan-June Subtotal | Twelve Months July 10 - June 11 Total |
|--|-----------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|------------------------------------|---|
| | January 2011 | February 2011 | March 2011 | April 2011 | May 2011 | June 2011 | | |
| 27 | | | | | | | | |
| 28 <u>Retail Transmission Cost</u> | | | | | | | | |
| 29 | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | | | | | | | | |
| 31 Regional Network Service (RNS) | 7,069 | 7,382 | 6,944 | 6,777 | 5,982 | 5,890 | 40,043 | 84,246 |
| 32 | | | | | | | | |
| 33 Scheduling and Dispatch (S&D) | 180 | 188 | 177 | 172 | 152 | 150 | 1,018 | 2,142 |
| 34 | | | | | | | | |
| 35 Local Network Service (LNS) | 1,146 | 1,148 | 1,146 | 1,146 | 1,153 | 1,153 | 6,892 | 10,270 |
| 36 | | | | | | | | |
| 37 Reliability | 403 | 403 | 403 | 403 | 403 | 403 | 2,420 | 4,839 |
| 38 | | | | | | | | |
| 39 Hydro-Quebec Support Costs (1) | 455 | 455 | 455 | 455 | 455 | 455 | 2,729 | 5,499 |
| 40 | | | | | | | | |
| 41 NHPUC Assessment (1) | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 156 | 313 |
| 42 | | | | | | | | |
| 43 Return on TCAM Working Capital Allowance (1, 2) | 125 | 130 | 124 | 121 | 110 | 109 | 720 | 1,451 |
| 44 | | | | | | | | |
| 45 Under-recovery TCAM, previous TCAM Year | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9,552 |
| 46 | | | | | | | | |
| 47 Revenue Credits (3) | (116) | (116) | (116) | (116) | (116) | (116) | (695) | (1,389) |
| 48 | | | | | | | | |
| 49 Retail Transmission Operating Costs | \$ 9,289 | \$ 9,616 | \$ 9,159 | \$ 8,985 | \$ 8,165 | \$ 8,070 | \$ 53,284 | \$ 116,922 |
| 50 | | | | | | | | |
| 51 Estimated Retail MWH Sales | 718,620 | 633,627 | 647,148 | 603,306 | 604,454 | 626,085 | 3,833,240 | 7,788,871 |
| 52 | | | | | | | | |

53 Note 1-- This item assumes Commission approval of the proposed settlement agreement (SA) filed in docket DE 09-035. The distribution revenue requirements in the
54 proposed SA are based on Hydro-Quebec support costs, a portion of the NHPUC assessment, and a return on TCAM working capital being recovered through the TCAM
55 rate.

56
57 Note 2-- The return on the working capital allowance is monthly O&M x (45 days/365 days) x 10.9656% (allowed 7.513% incl tax gross-up)

58
59 Note 3--ISO-NE Credits and NOATT Schedule 2 revenues

60
61 Amounts shown above may not add due to rounding.

55 Amounts shown above may not add due to rounding

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION
July-December 2009

(Dollars in 000's)

| | Balance 06/30/2009 | July 2009 | August 2009 | September 2009 | October 2009 | November 2009 | December 2009 | Total | Reference |
|---|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 Retail Transmission Costs | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 Retail Transmission Operating Revenues | | \$ (6,299) | \$ (8,563) | \$ (7,527) | \$ (7,399) | \$ (7,120) | \$ (8,507) | \$ (45,416) | RAB-1, Pg 7 |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 Regional Network Service (RNS) | | 5,285 | 6,919 | 7,782 | 5,902 | 5,595 | 5,954 | 37,437 | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 Scheduling and Dispatch | | 137 | 175 | 197 | 149 | 142 | 151 | 951 | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 Local Network Service (LNS) | | 262 | 223 | 226 | 224 | 224 | 226 | 1,384 | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 Reliability | | 301 | 266 | 295 | 327 | 291 | 296 | 1,776 | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 Hydro-Quebec Support Costs (2) | | - | 381 | 357 | 381 | 406 | 390 | 1,915 | |
| 14 | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 NHPUC Assessment (2) | | - | 2 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 106 | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 Return on TCAM Working Capital (2, 3) | | - | 108 | 120 | 95 | 90 | 95 | 508 | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 Revenue Credits | | (108) | (100) | (107) | (114) | (114) | (154) | (696) | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 Retail Transmission Operating Costs | | \$ 5,877 | \$ 7,973 | \$ 8,896 | \$ 6,991 | \$ 6,660 | \$ 6,983 | \$ 43,381 | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 (Over) / Under-Recovery | | \$ (422) | \$ (590) | \$ 1,369 | \$ (409) | \$ (460) | \$ (1,524) | \$ (2,035) | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 Cumulative (Over) / Under-Recovery | | \$ 3,805 | \$ 3,383 | \$ 2,793 | \$ 4,162 | \$ 3,754 | \$ 3,294 | \$ 1,770 | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 Calculation of Return/Deferral | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | | |
| 29 Average Balance | | 3,594 | 3,088 | 3,478 | 3,958 | 3,524 | 2,532 | | |
| 30 | | | | | | | | | |
| 31 Deferred tax calculation-- | | | | | | | | | |
| 32 Deferred tax rate | | 39.550% | 39.550% | 39.550% | 39.550% | 39.550% | 39.550% | | |
| 33 | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 ADIT on the average balance | | \$ (1,421) | \$ (1,221) | \$ (1,375) | \$ (1,565) | \$ (1,394) | \$ (1,001) | | |
| 35 | | | | | | | | | |
| 36 Average Balance, Net of ADIT | | \$ 2,172 | \$ 1,867 | \$ 2,102 | \$ 2,393 | \$ 2,130 | \$ 1,530 | | |
| 37 | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 x Return at Prime Rate | | 0.2708% | 0.2708% | 0.2708% | 0.2708% | 0.2708% | 0.2708% | | |
| 39 | | | | | | | | | |
| 40 Return-Monthly | | \$ 6 | \$ 5 | \$ 6 | \$ 6 | \$ 6 | \$ 4 | \$ 33 | |
| 41 | | | | | | | | | |
| 42 Cumulative Return | | \$ 6 | \$ 11 | \$ 17 | \$ 23 | \$ 29 | \$ 33 | | |
| 43 | | | | | | | | | |
| 44 Cumulative (Over) / Under Recovery, Including Return | | \$ 3,389 | \$ 2,804 | \$ 4,179 | \$ 3,777 | \$ 3,323 | \$ 1,803 | | |
| 45 | | | | | | | | | |

46 Note 2-- This item assumes Commission approval of the proposed settlement agreement (SA) filed in docket DE 09-035. The distribution revenue requirements in the
47 proposed SA are based on Hydro-Quebec support costs, a portion of the NHPUC assessment, and a return on TCAM working capital being recovered through the
48 TCAM rate.

49 Note 3-- The return on the working capital allowance is monthly O&M x (45 days/365 days) x 10.9656% (allowed 7.513% incl tax gross-up)

50
51
52 Amounts shown above may not add due to rounding.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION
January-June 2010

(Dollars in 000's)

| | Balance 12/31/2009 | Actuals | | | | | Forecasted June 2010 | Total | Reference |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 Retail Transmission Costs | | January 2010 | February 2010 | March 2010 | April 2010 | May 2010 | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 Retail Transmission Operating Revenues | | \$ (8,199) | \$ (7,206) | \$ (7,437) | \$ (6,918) | \$ (7,638) | \$ (7,841) | \$ (45,239) | RAB-1, Pg 8 |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 Regional Network Service (RNS) | | 7,129 | 6,415 | 6,346 | 5,860 | 5,211 | 5,441 | 36,401 | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 Scheduling and Dispatch (S&D) | | 180 | 162 | 161 | 148 | 132 | 138 | 922 | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 Local Network Service (LNS) (1) | | 418 | 475 | 423 | 427 | 8,823 | 556 | 11,122 | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 Reliability | | 309 | 335 | 354 | 356 | 341 | 345 | 2,040 | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 Hydro-Quebec Support Costs (2) | | 465 | 207 | 400 | 372 | 376 | 462 | 2,281 | |
| 14 | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 NHPUC Assessment (2) | | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 157 | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 Return on TCAM Working Capital (2, 3) | | 115 | 103 | 104 | 97 | 202 | 94 | 715 | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 Revenue Credits | | (116) | (116) | (117) | (117) | (117) | (112) | (695) | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 Retail Transmission Operating Costs | | \$ 8,526 | \$ 7,607 | \$ 7,697 | \$ 7,171 | \$ 14,992 | \$ 6,950 | \$ 52,944 | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 (Over) / Under-Recovery | | \$ 327 | \$ 402 | \$ 261 | \$ 253 | \$ 7,354 | \$ (891) | \$ 7,705 | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 Cumulative (Over) / Under-Recovery | \$ 1,803 | \$ 2,130 | \$ 2,532 | \$ 2,792 | \$ 3,045 | \$ 10,399 | \$ 9,508 | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 Calculation of Return/Deferral | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | | |
| 29 Average Balance | | 1,966 | 2,331 | 2,662 | 2,919 | 6,722 | 9,954 | | |
| 30 | | | | | | | | | |
| 31 Deferred tax calculation-- | | | | | | | | | |
| 32 Deferred tax rate | | 39.550% | 39.550% | 39.550% | 39.550% | 39.550% | 39.550% | | |
| 33 | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 ADIT on the average balance | | \$ (778) | \$ (922) | \$ (1,053) | \$ (1,154) | \$ (2,659) | \$ (3,937) | | |
| 35 | | | | | | | | | |
| 36 Average Balance, Net of Accum. Def. Income Taxes | | \$ 1,189 | \$ 1,409 | \$ 1,609 | \$ 1,764 | \$ 4,064 | \$ 6,017 | | |
| 37 | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 x Return at Prime Rate | | 0.2708% | 0.2708% | 0.2708% | 0.2708% | 0.2708% | 0.2708% | | |
| 39 | | | | | | | | | |
| 40 Return-Monthly | | \$ 3 | \$ 4 | \$ 4 | \$ 5 | \$ 11 | \$ 16 | \$ 43 | |
| 41 | | | | | | | | | |
| 42 Cumulative Return | | \$ 3 | \$ 7 | \$ 11 | \$ 16 | \$ 27 | \$ 43 | | |
| 43 | | | | | | | | | |
| 44 Cumulative (Over) / Under Recovery, Including Return | | \$ 2,133 | \$ 2,539 | \$ 2,804 | \$ 3,061 | \$ 10,426 | \$ 9,552 | | |
| 45 | | | | | | | | | |

Note 1--May 2010 LNS includes \$8.5M of rebilling for the FY 2009 Schedule 21 true-up.

Note 2-- This item assumes Commission approval of the proposed settlement agreement (SA) filed in docket DE 09-035. The distribution revenue requirements in the proposed SA are based on Hydro-Quebec support costs, a portion of the NHPUC assessment, and a return on TCAM working capital being recovered through the TCAM rate.

Note 3-- The return on the working capital allowance is monthly O&M x (45 days/365 days) x 10.9656% (allowed 7.513% incl tax gross-up)

Amounts shown above may not add due to rounding

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION
January - June 2009**

(Dollars in 000's)

| | | Actuals | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | | January 2009 | February 2009 | March 2009 | April 2009 | May 2009 | June 2009 | Total |
| 1 | Retail Transmission Revenues | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Transmission Revenue - Billed | \$ (6,551) | \$ (6,522) | \$ (5,806) | \$ (5,714) | \$ (5,705) | \$ (5,598) | \$ (35,896) |
| 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Transmission Revenue - Unbilled | (837) | 896 | (486) | 339 | (105) | (355) | (548) |
| 6 | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Total | <u>\$ (7,389)</u> | <u>\$ (5,626)</u> | <u>\$ (6,292)</u> | <u>\$ (5,374)</u> | <u>\$ (5,810)</u> | <u>\$ (5,954)</u> | <u>\$ (36,445)</u> |

8
9
10 Amounts shown above may not add due to rounding.

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION
July-December 2009**

(Dollars in 000's)

| | | Actuals | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | | July 2009 | August 2009 | September 2009 | October 2009 | November 2009 | December 2009 | Total |
| 1 | Retail Transmission Revenues | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Transmission Revenue - Billed | \$ (6,044) | \$ (7,680) | \$ (8,247) | \$ (7,377) | \$ (7,083) | \$ (7,632) | \$ (44,063) |
| 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Transmission Revenue - Unbilled | (255) | (883) | 720 | (23) | (37) | (875) | (1,353) |
| 6 | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Total | <u>\$ (6,299)</u> | <u>\$ (8,563)</u> | <u>\$ (7,527)</u> | <u>\$ (7,399)</u> | <u>\$ (7,120)</u> | <u>\$ (8,507)</u> | <u>\$ (45,416)</u> |
| 8 | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | |

10 Amounts shown above may not add due to rounding.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION
January-June 2010

(Dollars in 000's)

| | Actuals | | | | | Forecasted | Total |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | January 2010 | February 2010 | March 2010 | April 2010 | May 2010 | June 2010 | |
| 1 Retail Transmission Revenues | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | |
| 3 Transmission Revenue - Billed | \$ (8,384) | \$ (7,861) | \$ (7,383) | \$ (7,218) | \$ (7,252) | \$ (7,841) | \$ (45,940) |
| 4 | | | | | | | |
| 5 Transmission Revenue - Unbilled | 185 | 656 | (54) | 300 | (386) | - | 701 |
| 6 | | | | | | | |
| 7 Total | <u>\$ (8,199)</u> | <u>\$ (7,206)</u> | <u>\$ (7,437)</u> | <u>\$ (6,918)</u> | <u>\$ (7,638)</u> | <u>\$ (7,841)</u> | <u>\$ (45,239)</u> |
| 8 | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | |

10 Amounts shown above may not add due to rounding.

**STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM**

**PREPARED TESTIMONY OF
STEPHEN R. HALL**

1 Q. Please state your name, business address and your present position.

2 A. My name is Stephen R. Hall. My business address is PSNH Energy Park, 780 North
3 Commercial Street, Manchester, New Hampshire. I am Rate and Regulatory Services
4 Manager for Public Service Company of New Hampshire ("PSNH").

5 Q. Have you previously testified before the Commission?

6 A. Yes, I have testified on numerous occasions before the Commission over the past thirty
7 years.

8 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

9 A. The purpose of my testimony is to propose transmission prices for effect July 1, 2010 under
10 the Transmission Cost Adjustment Mechanism (TCAM). My testimony proposes specific
11 rates and charges for transmission based on the transmission revenue requirement contained
12 in the attachments to Mr. Baumann's testimony.

13 Q. Have you calculated specific rates and charges for transmission for all rate classes?

14 A. Yes, we have. The proposed rates and charges are included in Attachment SRH-1.

15 Q. Please describe generally the transmission pricing rate design contained in Attachment
16 SRH-1.

1 A. The design of transmission prices was contained in the settlement agreement in Docket No.
2 DE 06-028. The settlement agreement describes the design of transmission pricing for
3 Backup Delivery Service Rate B specifically, and for all other rate classes in general. For
4 Rate B, the settlement agreement provides that transmission costs be recovered through a
5 demand charge, and it splits the demand charge into two components for rate calculation
6 purposes: a base component and an incremental component¹. The settlement agreement
7 describes the cost allocation for the base component, and it also states that other
8 transmission prices will be calculated through an equi-proportional adjustment.

9 Q. Please describe how the base component of the Rate B demand charge was determined.

10 A. First, the ratio of average Rate B demands to average total PSNH demands at the time of
11 the monthly NU system peaks was calculated. The calculation of that ratio is shown on
12 Page 2 of Attachment SRH-2. Once the ratio was calculated, the Rate B base component
13 revenue requirement for the forecast period was determined by multiplying the ratio by the
14 total transmission revenue requirement for the forecast period included in Mr. Baumann's
15 Attachment RAB-1. The Rate B base component forecasted revenue requirement is shown
16 on line 7 of Page 1 of Attachment SRH-2. The base component reconciliation from the
17 prior period was then added to the base component forecasted revenue requirement to
18 determine the total base component revenue requirement (line 11 of Page 1 of Attachment
19 SRH-2). The Rate B base component rate was then determined by dividing the total base
20 component revenue requirement by projected billing demand. As shown on Page 1 of
21 Attachment SRH-2, that calculation produces a Rate B base component rate of \$0.95 per
22 kW or kVA per month.

23 Q. How did you calculate the base component reconciliation?

24 A. The base component reconciliation calculation is shown on Page 3 of Attachment SRH-2.
25 It was calculated by multiplying the prior period transmission revenue requirement by the
26 base component ratio for the prior period. The base component revenue for the prior period
27 was then subtracted from the base component revenue requirement to determine the base
28 component reconciliation (in this case, an under-recovery).

¹ For billing purposes, the two components are summed so only one demand charge is billed.

1 Q. How did you forecast the data to perform the calculations described above?

2 A. For the contribution to the monthly NU system peaks, we used historical data as a proxy for
3 what will occur in the prospective period because there is no other reasonable way to
4 forecast Rate B contributions to peak load. The projected billing demand for Rate B was
5 based on actual data for the reconciliation period, with adjustments that could reasonably
6 be anticipated. For total transmission revenue requirements, we used the data provided in
7 Mr. Baumann's testimony.

8 Q. How did you calculate all other transmission rates and charges?

9 A. The transmission rate calculations were based on billing determinants for the 2009 test
10 year, as proformed in Docket No. DE 09-035. On Attachment SRH-3, we multiplied the
11 forecasted TCAM rate provided in Mr. Baumann's attachment by test year MWH sales to
12 produce the target transmission revenue for the test year. From that test year revenue
13 requirement, we subtracted special pricing revenue imputed at the average transmission rate
14 level and the Rate B base component revenue which was calculated based on test year
15 billing determinants on Attachment SRH-4. The result of this subtraction is the amount to
16 be recovered from all other customers. Revenue and the resulting rates and charges were
17 determined by proportionally adjusting all currently-effective revenue and rates to the level
18 necessary to recover the transmission revenue requirement net of the Rate B base amount.
19 The allocation of transmission revenue to class under this methodology is shown on
20 Attachment SRH-3.

21 Q. Does this complete your testimony?

22 A. Yes, it does.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION
TRANSMISSION RATES PROPOSED FOR EFFECT ON JULY 1, 2010

| | | | (1) Current Rates Effective 08/01/2009 | (2) 07/01/2010 Proposed Rates |
|----|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| | <u>Rate</u> | <u>Blocks</u> | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | R | All KWH | \$ 0.01307 | \$ 0.01625 |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | Uncontrolled Water Heating | All KWH | \$ 0.01010 | \$ 0.01255 |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | Controlled Water Heating | All KWH | \$ 0.01010 | \$ 0.01255 |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | R-OTOD | On-peak KWH | \$ 0.01307 | \$ 0.01625 |
| 17 | | Off-peak KWH | \$ 0.00853 | \$ 0.01060 |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | G | Load charge (over 5 KW) | \$ 3.38 | \$ 4.20 |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | First 500 KWH | \$ 0.01217 | \$ 0.01513 |
| 23 | | Next 1,000 KWH | \$ 0.00458 | \$ 0.00569 |
| 24 | | All additional KWH | \$ 0.00246 | \$ 0.00306 |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | Space Heating | All KWH | \$ 0.01217 | \$ 0.01513 |
| 28 | | | | |
| 29 | | | | |
| 30 | G-OTOD | Load charge | \$ 2.23 | \$ 2.77 |
| 31 | | | | |
| 32 | | | | |
| 33 | LCS | Radio-controlled option | \$ 0.01010 | \$ 0.01255 |
| 34 | | 8-hour option | \$ 0.01010 | \$ 0.01255 |
| 35 | | 10 or 11-hour option | \$ 0.01010 | \$ 0.01255 |
| 36 | | | | |
| 37 | | | | |
| 38 | GV | First 100 KW | \$ 4.52 | \$ 5.62 |
| 39 | | All additional KW | \$ 4.52 | \$ 5.62 |
| 40 | | | | |
| 41 | | | | |
| 42 | LG | Demand charge | \$ 4.44 | \$ 5.52 |
| 43 | | | | |
| 44 | | | | |
| 45 | B | Demand charge | \$ 0.88 | \$ 1.31 |
| 46 | | | | |
| 47 | | | | |
| 48 | OL, EOL | All KWH | \$ 0.00894 | \$ 0.01111 |

Notes:

- (1) Current rates are based on a retail average transmission rate of 1.195 ¢/KWH.
- (2) Proposed rates are based on a retail average transmission rate of 1.501 ¢/KWH.
The calculation of the Rate B charge is shown on Attachment SRH-4. All other rates have been calculated by equi-proportionally adjusting current rates by the ratio necessary to recover the remaining transmission revenue requirement.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION
RATE B CUSTOMERS

| | | | |
|----|---|-------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | Base Component Revenue Requirement | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | Total Transmission Revenue Requirement | \$ 116,922,000 | RAB-1, Page 1, Line 16 |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | Times Base Component Ratio | <u>0.66573%</u> | SRH-2, Page 2 |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | Base Component Forecasted Revenue Requirement | \$ 778,390 | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | Base Component Reconciliation | <u>\$ 212,862</u> | SRH-2 Page 3 |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | Base Component Revenue Requirement | \$ 991,253 | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | Rate B Projected Billing Demand | 1,041,610 | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | Rate B Base Component (L11/L13) | \$ 0.95 | per kW or kVA |

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION
RATE B CUSTOMERS**

| | | | |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 Contribution to NU System Peak (KW) | | | |
| 2 Period Ending 6/30/10 | | | |
| 3 | | | Ratio of |
| 4 | <u>Rate B</u> | <u>Total PSNH</u> | <u>Rate B to</u> |
| 5 | | | <u>Total PSNH</u> |
| 6 Jul '09 | 1,922 | 1,389,093 | |
| 7 Aug | 2,898 | 1,559,154 | |
| 8 Sep | 3,401 | 1,184,316 | |
| 9 Oct | 14,157 | 1,121,400 | |
| 10 Nov | 5,300 | 1,196,094 | |
| 11 Dec | 44,138 | 1,430,449 | |
| 12 Jan '10 | 3,281 | 1,286,070 | |
| 13 Feb | 1,350 | 1,275,081 | |
| 14 Mar | 6,084 | 1,175,853 | |
| 15 Apr (1) | 6,728 | 1,042,050 | |
| 16 May (1) | 6,000 | 1,101,000 | |
| 17 Jun (1) | 6,000 | 1,449,609 | |
| 18 Average | 8,438 | 1,267,514 | 0.66573% |

(1) Estimated data

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION
RATE B CUSTOMERS

| | | | |
|----|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | Estimated Base Component Reconciliation, 12 months ending June 30, 2010 | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | Prior Period Transmission Revenue Requirement: | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | Retail Transmission Operating Costs | \$ 96,325,000 | RAB-1, Pages 4 & 5, line 21 |
| 6 | (Over)/Underrecovery, period ending 6/30/09 | \$ 3,805,000 | RAB-1, Page 4, line 25 |
| 7 | Return on monthly (over)/underrecovery, period ending 6/30/10 | <u>\$ 76,000</u> | RAB-1, Pages 4 & 5, line 40 |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | Prior Period Transmission Revenue Requirement | \$ 100,206,000 | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | Times Base Component Ratio | <u>0.66573%</u> | SRH-2, Page 2 |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | Prior Period Base Component Revenue Requirement | \$ 667,106 | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | Base Component Reconciliation for 12-Month Period Ending 6/30/09 | <u>\$ 137,065</u> | SRH-2, Page 5, line 21 |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | Total Base Component Revenue Requirement | \$ 804,171 | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | Base Component Revenue (actual through 5/10; 6/10 estimated) | <u>\$ 591,309</u> | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | Estimated Base Component Reconciliation, 12 months ending 6/30/10 | \$ 212,862 | |

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION
RATE B CUSTOMERS**

| 1 Actual Contribution to NU System Peak (KW) | | | |
|--|---------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 2 Period Ending 6/30/09 | | | |
| 3 | | | Ratio of |
| 4 | <u>Rate B</u> | <u>Total PSNH</u> | <u>Rate B to</u> <u>Total PSNH</u> |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 Jul '08 | 9,345 | 1,508,181 | |
| 7 Aug | 9,090 | 1,405,016 | |
| 8 Sep | 1,715 | 1,365,700 | |
| 9 Oct | 1,368 | 1,164,590 | |
| 10 Nov | 1,347 | 1,271,782 | |
| 11 Dec | 10,077 | 1,416,229 | |
| 12 Jan '09 | 1,511 | 1,360,671 | |
| 13 Feb | 8,871 | 1,336,775 | |
| 14 Mar | 10,957 | 1,264,363 | |
| 15 Apr | 9,404 | 1,139,736 | |
| 16 May | 3,785 | 1,156,648 | |
| 17 Jun | 9,843 | 1,079,565 | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 Average | 6,443 | 1,289,105 | 0.49978% |

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION
RATE B CUSTOMERS

| | | | |
|----|--|---------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | Actual Base Component Reconciliation, 12 months ending June 30, 2009 | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | Prior Period Transmission Revenue Requirement: | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | Retail Transmission Operating Costs | \$ 75,531,000 | RAB-1, P3, L21 & 2009 RAB-1 P4, L17 |
| 6 | (Over)/Underrecovery, period ending 6/30/08 | \$ 2,006,000 | 2009 RAB-1, P4, L21 |
| 7 | Return on monthly (over)/underrecovery, period ending 6/30/09 | <u>\$ 72,000</u> | RAB-1, P3, L40 & 2009 RAB-1, P4, L36 |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | Prior Period Transmission Revenue Requirement | \$ 77,609,000 | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | Times Base Component Ratio | <u>0.49978%</u> | SRH-2, Page 4 |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | Prior Period Base Component Revenue Requirement | \$ 387,878 | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | Base Component Reconciliation for 12-Month Period Ending 6/30/08 | <u>\$ (227,971)</u> | 2009 SRH-2, P5, L21 |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | Total Base Component Revenue Requirement | \$ 159,907 | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | Actual Base Component Revenue, Period Ending 6/30/09 | <u>\$ 22,842</u> | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | Actual Base Component Reconciliation, 12 months ending 6/30/09 | \$ 137,065 | |

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION
ALLOCATION OF JULY 1, 2010 TRANSMISSION REVENUE TO CLASS
BASED ON BILLING DETERMINANTS FOR THE 2009 TEST YEAR

| | | | | | |
|----|---|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1 | Retail delivery sales for the 2009 test year | | | 7,657,472 | MWH |
| 2 | Forecasted TCAM Rate (from Attachment RAB-1, Page 1, Line 20) | | | \$ | 0.01501 per KWH |
| 3 | Target transmission revenue based on the test year: Line (1) x Line (2) | | | \$ | 114,939 (000) |
| 4 | Special pricing delivery sales included in Line (1) | | | | 4,709 MWH |
| 5 | Transmission revenue from special pricing at proposed rate level: Line (2) x Line (4) | | | \$ | 71 (000) |
| 6 | Rate B Base Component Revenue, based on the test year (from Attachment SRH-4) | | | \$ | 925 (000) |
| 7 | Transmission revenue to be recovered from all other classes: Line (3) - Line (5) - Line (6) | | | \$ | 113,943 (000) |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 12 | | | | | |
| 13 | | Revenue at | 07/01/2010 | | |
| 14 | Standard Tariff Customers | 08/01/2009 | Revenue | | <u>Increase</u> |
| 15 | excluding Rate B Base Component | <u>Rate Level</u> | <u>Target</u> | \$ | % |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | Residential Rates R, R-OTOD | \$ 39,518 | \$ 49,118 | \$ 9,600 | 24.29% |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | General Service Rates G, G-OTOD | 20,867 | 25,937 | 5,069 | 24.29% |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | Primary General Service Rate GV | 19,182 | 23,842 | 4,660 | 24.29% |
| 22 | GV Rate B - incremental component only | 9 | 11 | 2 | 24.29% |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | Large General Service Rate LG | 11,453 | 14,235 | 2,782 | 24.29% |
| 25 | LG Rate B - incremental component only | 274 | 340 | 66 | 24.29% |
| 26 | | | | | |
| 27 | Outdoor Lighting Rates OL, EOL | <u>369</u> | <u>459</u> | <u>90</u> | <u>24.29%</u> |
| 28 | | | | | |
| 29 | Total | \$ 91,673 | \$ 113,943 | \$ 22,270 | 24.29% |
| 30 | | | | | |
| 31 | | | | | |
| 32 | Special Pricing Customers, at Retail Average Rate | | | | |
| 33 | Rate LG (4,709 MWH) | 57 | 71 | 14 | 24.56% |
| 34 | | | | | |
| 35 | | | | | |
| 36 | Rate B Base Component | | | | |
| 37 | GV Rate B - base component | \$ 18 | \$ 29 | \$ 11 | 61.02% |
| 38 | LG Rate B - base component | <u>557</u> | <u>896</u> | <u>340</u> | <u>61.02%</u> |
| 39 | Total | \$ 575 | \$ 925 | \$ 351 | 61.02% |
| 40 | | | | | |
| 41 | | | | | |
| 42 | Total, all customers | \$ 92,304 | \$ 114,939 | \$ 22,634 | 24.52% |
| 43 | | | | | |
| 44 | | | | | |
| 45 | Total Rate B, incremental plus base: | | | | |
| 46 | Rate GV | \$ 27 | \$ 40 | \$ 13 | 48.91% |
| 47 | Rate LG | <u>830</u> | <u>1,237</u> | <u>406</u> | <u>48.91%</u> |
| 48 | Total | \$ 857 | \$ 1,277 | \$ 419 | 48.91% |

Notes:

- (1) The result of applying rates effective August 1, 2009 to test year billing determinants.
- (2) Special pricing revenue was imputed at the overall average rate. The Rate B base component was taken from Attachment SRH-4. Revenue targets for all other classes were calculated by equi-proportionally adjusting current revenues.
- (3) Column (2) - Column (1).
- (4) Column (3) / Column (1).

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION
CALCULATION OF TRANSMISSION REVENUE AND RATES FOR RATE B CUSTOMERS
BASED ON SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT ARTICLE V, SECTION 5.1.1. AND
BILLING DETERMINANTS FOR THE 2009 TEST YEAR**

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | Test Year | Base | Revenue from | Allocated | Incremental | Total Base |
| | Billing | Component | Base | Revenue from | Component | Plus |
| | <u>Demand</u> | <u>of Rate</u> | <u>Component</u> | <u>Incremental</u> | <u>of Rate</u> | <u>Incremental</u> |
| | | | | <u>Component</u> | | <u>Rate</u> |
| 7 Rate B customers on Rate GV | 30,468 | \$ 0.95 | \$ 28,944.60 | \$ 10,982.15 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 1.31 |
| 10 Rate B customers on Rate LG | <u>943,642</u> | \$ 0.95 | <u>896,459.90</u> | <u>340,134.54</u> | \$ 0.36 | \$ 1.31 |
| 13 Total Rate B customers | 974,110 | | \$ 925,404.50 | \$ 351,116.69 | | |

(2) From Attachment SRH-2, Page 1.

(3) Column (1) x Column (2).

(4) From Attachment SRH-3, Column (2), Lines 22 and 25.

(5) Column (4) / Column (1).

(6) Column (2) + Column (5).